## **Chairmen's Committee**

## **PUBLIC MEETING**

## **Record of Meeting**

Date: 12.11.09 Meeting No: 32

Present	Senator B. E. Shenton, President
	Deputy R.G. Le Hérissier, Vice-President
	Senator A. Breckon
	Senator S.C. Ferguson
	Deputy P.J. Rondel
	Deputy S. Pitman (representing Economic Affairs Scrutiny Panel)
Apologies	Deputy M.R. Higgins
Absent	
In attendance	Mrs K. Tremellen-Frost, Scrutiny Manager

Ref Back	Agenda matter	Action
	1. Minutes	
	The minutes of the 15th and 19th October 2009 having been agreed, were accordingly signed.	
	2. Panel Activity reports	
	These were noted	
	3. Corporate Services Scrutiny Panel Review into Population Policy (SR3/2009): evaluation	***************************************
513/18(8)	The Committee noted the evaluation of the above review.	
	4. Environment Panel: Review into Environmental Taxes	
514/12(8)	The Committee noted the scoping document and terms of reference for a review into Environmental Taxes.	
	5. Requests by Ministers for Scrutiny Panel representatives to serve on Executive Panels/Boards	
	A number of Ministers had suggested to respective Scrutiny Panels that Panels should have representatives working on Executive working groups. In view of the fact that this would place Members and Panels in difficult positions if they disagreed with the working group and subsequently wished to review the outcomes of the group it was agreed that it was inappropriate for scrutiny to be working on Executive initiatives and all Panels should decline such offers. This did not preclude Members serving in a private capacity.	
17.09.09 item 10	6. Standing Orders proposed amendment: all non-Executive to serve on scrutiny	
511/1(37)	The Committee received correspondence dated 16th October 2009 from the Privileges and Procedures Committee in respect of the Committee's request to that Committee that it should consider amending Standing Orders so that all non-Executive Members were compelled to serve on Scrutiny.	
	The Privileges and Procedures Committee considered that it would not be	

	possible to compel Members to serve on scrutiny and that Members must	
	be free to choose their own methods of working and to focus on areas	
	where they feel they can have the most impact.	
17.09.09	7. Proposed review of the rôle of Assistant Ministers	
item 10		
511/1(37)	The Committee received correspondence dated 16th October 2009 from	
	the Privileges and Procedures Committee in respect of the Committee's	
	request for a review into the rôle and workload of Assistant Ministers and	
	the possibility of them serving on Scrutiny Panels.	
	The Privileges and Procedures Committee believed that there was no	
	evidence of any Assistant Ministers having a light workload and also	
	believed that if they were to be able to serve on Scrutiny Panels then the	
	same would apply to Ministers. It further referred to the Machinery of	
	Government Review which had considered this and had felt that that	
	Ministers and Assistant Ministers were part of the executive and their rôles	
	should not be confused.	
17.09.09	8. Standing Orders: proposed review to appoint Scrutiny Panel	
item 10	Chairmen prior to Assistant Ministers.	
511/1(37)	Correspondence date 16th October 2009 from Privileges and Procedures	ļ
` ′	Committee was received in respect of the Committee's request to review	
	Standing Orders: so that Scrutiny Panel Chairmen were appointed prior to	
	Assistant Ministers. The Privileges and Procedures Committee felt that the	
	appointment of Scrutiny Panel Chairmen was as immediate as possible	
	under the current system and it could not prevent private conversations	
	taking place with regard to the allocation of Assistant Ministers.	
17.09.09	9. Standing Orders: proposed review to ensure newly elected	
item 10	Members serve firstly on scrutiny.	
1.0711 10	monitorio con la monitori anni y	
511/1(37)	The Committee received correspondence dated 16th October 2009 from	
	the Privileges and Procedures Committee in respect of the Committee's	
	request that Standing Orders be reviewed to ensure newly elected	
	Members serve firstly on scrutiny.	
	,	
	The Privileges and Procedures Committee did not feel that it would be	
	appropriate to restrict members in this way.	
15.10.09	10. Ministerial Responses	
item 3		
	The Committee was to meet the Council of Ministers on this day and were	
	to discuss the following:-	
	A proposal from the Council of Ministers that Scrutiny reports would	
	include an assessment of any cost or manpower implications of	
	each recommendation;	
	2. Ministers would identify the cost and/or manpower implications of	
	the recommendations and include in Ministerial responses;	
	3. The timetable for response from Ministers should be discussed	
	between the Panel and the Minister before the scrutiny report is	
	published.	
15.10.09	11. Travel and Subsistence Policy	
item 7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	The Committee noted that Ministers followed the Departmental travel	
	policy of the respective department. These were based on the States of	
	Jersey Financial Direction 5.2. In respect of Ministers, expenditure for	
	travel, accommodation and subsistence were approved in advance by the	
	relevant Accounting Officer. The level of subsistence approved was	
:	resevant /1000anting officer. The level of adusticence approved was	

12.11.09

	determined through reasonableness but based on the guidelines from Human Resources for subsistence.	
	Noting that the scrutiny policy was in line with Executive Departmental	KTF
	Policy, the Committee accordingly approved it.	
	12. Education and Home Affairs Scrutiny Panel: visit to House of Commons and the Welsh Assembly	
	Commons and the weish Assembly	
510/1(3)	The Education and Home Affairs Scrutiny Panel had taken up the offer of visiting other jurisdictions by way of training. All the Education and Home Affairs Scrutiny Panel Members had visited the House of Commons on 9th November and the Welsh Assembly on 10th and 11th November 2009.	
15.10.09 item 10	13. States Building	
511/1(39)	The suggestion of reconfiguring the table in the Le Capelain and opening the doors outwards had been investigated. The Committee welcomed the Director of Architecture to the meeting.	
	The Committee was apprised of estimated costs, related matters and the status of the building as listed in respect of opening the doors outwards.	
	The Committee agreed to leave the table as currently configured to allow all Panels to have time to use the room for various types of meetings and hearings and to give views on the new layout. It was also agreed to leave the doors opening inwards until the trial had been completed.	
15.10.09 item 11	14. Away morning	
	The Committee approved funding for the use of an external venue but agreed that this should be located in St. Helier.	
	The format for the morning would be drawn up by the President and Vice-President with the Scrutiny Manager & be discussed at the December meeting. It was noted that all scrutiny members had been asked for agenda items and that only one discussion item had been received. It agreed that recognition of scrutiny recommendations, how to be more effective and the rôle of Assistant Ministers should be considered.	BS/RL H/KTF
	15. Scheduling of Scrutiny Hearings	
	The Committee considered a suggestion from the Council of Ministers that Scrutiny Hearings which involved Ministers should not be scheduled for days when the Council of Ministers had scheduled meetings. The Committee noted the difficulties experienced in scheduling hearings especially due to the lengthy and frequent Assembly meetings. It was agreed to discuss this with the Council of Ministers at the joint meeting of this day.	
17.09.09 item 11	16. Public recordings of scrutiny events	
1240/10 (36)	The Committee considered the question of Deputy M. Tadier to the President in the States on 3rd November 2009 asking him to consider an interim ban on all filming and photography pending proper guidelines, or if not, to put an end to the policy which required members of the citizens' media to give three days notice.	
ī.	The Committee noted that the media working group was now established and would consider all these issues.	
	It was considered that the group should look for an additional member who	

		sarily involved in scrutiny in order to	DC
	provide balance to the group.  17. Meetings of the Chairmen's Co	ammittae 2010	BS
	ir. weedings of the chairmen's Co	mmuee 2010	
<u> </u>			
	Proposed Meetings of C	<u> </u>	
	12.30pm - 2.30pm		
	12.30pm - 2.30pm	<del></del>	
•	14th January		
	14th January 28th January		
	18th February		,
	18th March		
	12.00-1.45pm		
	EASTER RECESS		
	29th April		
	20th May		
	17th June		
	12.00-1.45pm		
	15th July		
	SUMMER RECESS	<u> </u>	e.
	23rd September 28th October		
	25th November		
	l l	1	
	12.00 - 1.45pm XMAS RECESS		
	16th December		
	18. Council of Ministers meeting d	ates	
	14 <sup>th</sup> January	28 <sup>th</sup> January	
	11 <sup>th</sup> February	4 <sup>th</sup> March	
	18 <sup>th</sup> March	1 <sup>st</sup> April	
		20 <sup>th</sup> May	
	17 <sup>th</sup> June	1 <sup>st</sup> July	
j	15 <sup>th</sup> July	2 <sup>nd</sup> September	
<b>f</b>	23 <sup>rd</sup> September	7 <sup>th</sup> October	
		11 <sup>th</sup> November	
		16 <sup>th</sup> December	
		and Council of ministers: meeting	
	dates 2010	·	
	It was agreed with the Council that t	there would be three meeting dates in	
	2010:		
	18th March	•	
	17th June		
	25th November		
	20. Date of next meeting		,
		17th December 2009 commencing at	
	12.30pm.		

Signed Colorises	Date:	112/	PC	
Deputy R. Le Hérissier, Vice- President (in a	1	(	<i>l</i>	

12.11.09